



1 Tableaux in predicate logic

Exercise 1.1: Prove that the following formulas are tautologies. Use tableau method.

- $\Phi_1 \equiv \forall x\varphi(x) \Rightarrow \neg(\exists x\neg\varphi(x))$
- $\Phi_2 \equiv \forall x(P(x) \Rightarrow Q(x)) \Rightarrow (\forall xP(x) \Rightarrow \forall xQ(x))$
- $\Phi_3 \equiv \forall x(\varphi(x) \wedge \psi(x)) \Leftrightarrow (\forall x\varphi(x) \wedge \forall x\psi(x))$
- $\Phi_4 \equiv \exists y\forall x(P(x, y) \Leftrightarrow P(x, x)) \Rightarrow \neg\forall x\exists y\forall z(P(z, y) \Leftrightarrow \neg P(z, x))$

Exercise 1.2: Prove that the formula $\forall xP(x)$ is a logical consequence of the following formulas:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall x((Q(x) \vee R(x)) \Rightarrow \neg S(x)) \\ \forall x((R(x) \Rightarrow \neg P(x)) \Rightarrow (Q(x) \wedge S(x))) \end{aligned}$$

Exercise 1.3: Prove the following logical consequence using the tableau method. Assume that the following three statements hold:

- There exists a dragon (denote it $D/1$).
- Dragons sleep ($S/1$) or hunt ($L/1$).
- If a dragon is hungry ($H/1$), it cannot sleep.

Conclusion: *If a dragon is hungry, it hunts.*