

### 1 Box model

**Exercise 1.1:** Let's have the following program and queries. Demonstrate the processing of the queries using the box model representation.

member(X,[X|\_]).
member(X,[\_|T]) :- member(X,T).

?- member(a,[b]).
?- member(a,[b,a]).
?- member(a,[a,b]), fail.

**Solution 1.1:** Simplified (not nested) demonstration of the processing of the query



In addition to this drawings it is possible to look at the ports of boxes directly using tracing tools in Prolog. For example:

yes

# 2 Metainterpreters, backward and forward chaining

Exercise 2.1: Write a metainterpreter for backward chaining

- for Prolog clauses with conjunction and disjunction
- for rules in a form rule(LefthandSide, RighthandSide) where LefthandSide is a list of Prolog predicates (a comma means conjunction) and Righthand-Side is a predicate.

#### Solution 2.1:

```
prove(true).
prove((A;B)) :- prove(A) ; prove(B).
prove((A,B)) :- prove(A), prove(B).
prove(H) :- clause(H,B), prove(B).
prove([]).
prove([H|T]) :- prove(H), prove(T).
prove(RHS) :- rule(LHS,RHS), prove(LHS).
```

**Exercise 2.2:** Write a forward chaining interpreter for rules in a form rule(LHS, RHS).

```
Solution 2.2:
```

```
fc(K1,K3) :- step(K1,K2), fc(K2,K3).
fc(K1,K1).

step(K1,K2) :-
  rule(L,R),
  true_in(L,K1),
  not true_in(R,K1),
  append(K1,[R],K2).

true_in([H|T],K) :-
  true_in([H|T],K) :-
  true_in(T,K).

true_in(X,K) :- member(X,K).
```

**Exercise 2.3:** Rewrite the Prolog rules below into the form for the forward chaining interpreter and simulate its behaviour for these two facts: d. e.

```
a :- d, e, b.
b :- c, e.
b :- a, c.
c :- d.
```

#### Solution 2.3:

rule([d,e,b],a).
rule([c,e],b).
rule([a,c],b).
rule([d],c).

?- fc([d,e],X).

## 3 SAT: Davis Putnam (DP), DPLL

**Exercise 3.1:** Is the following set of clauses satisfiable? (Use DP algorithm to find the solution).

$$S = \{\{P, Q, R\}, \{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{P, \neg W\}, \{\neg Q, \neg R, \neg W\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q, R\}, \{U, X\}, \{U, \neg X\}, \{Q, \neg U\}, \{\neg R, \neg U\}\}$$

Solution 3.1: DP Algorithm (one of the possibilities):

- input: a formula in CNF without tautologies (set representation)
- repeat while there are any variables and  $\Box$  is not in the set of clauses:
  - choose a variable
  - create all the resolvents using clauses that contain the chosen variable, add the non-tautologic resolvents into the set of clauses
  - discard all the clauses containing the chosen variable
- output:
  - SAT when the set of clauses is empty (NO CLAUSES),
  - UNSAT when there is  $\Box$  in the set (EMPTY CLAUSE)
- a) chosen variable: W clauses containing:  $\{P, \neg W\}, \{\neg Q, \neg R, \neg W\}$ resolvents: no new set:  $\{\{P, Q, R\}, \{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q, R\}, \{U, X\}, \{U, \neg X\}, \{Q, \neg U\}, \{\neg R, \neg U\}\}$
- b) chosen variable: X clauses containing:  $\{U, X\}, \{U, \neg X\}$ resolvents:  $\{U\}$ new set:  $\{\{P, Q, R\}, \{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q, R\}, \{U\}, \{Q, \neg U\}, \{\neg R, \neg U\}\}$
- c) chosen variable: U clauses containing: {U}, {Q,  $\neg U$ }, { $\neg R, \neg U$ } resolvents: {Q}, { $\neg R$ } new set: {{P, Q, R}, {P,  $\neg Q, \neg R$ }, { $\neg P, \neg Q, R$ }, {Q}, { $\neg R$ }}

- d) chosen variable: Qclauses containing:  $\{P, Q, R\}, \{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q, R\}, \{Q\}$ resolvents:  $\{\neg P, R\}, \{P, \neg R\}, \{P, R, \neg R\}, \{P, \neg P, R\}$ tautologies:  $\{P, R, \neg R\}, \{P, \neg P, R\}$ new set:  $\{\{\neg R\}, \{\neg P, R\}, \{P, \neg R\}\}$
- e) chosen variable: Pclauses containing:  $\{\neg P, R\}, \{P, \neg R\}$ resolvents:  $\{R, \neg R\}$ tautologies:  $\{R, \neg R\}$ new set:  $\{\{\neg R\}\}$
- f) chosen variable: Rclauses containing:  $\{\neg R\}$ resolvents: no new set: empty (NO CLAUSES)

Conclusion: SAT (the set S is satisfiable).

**Exercise 3.2:** Is the following set of clauses satisfiable? (Use DPLL algorithm to find the solution).

$$S = \{\{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{R, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P, \neg R\}, \{P, R\}, \{R\}, \{Q, \neg P, Q\}\}$$

**Solution 3.2:** DPLL Algorithm: until SAT or UNSAT can be used, apply the rest of the following rules to *S*:

- UNSAT:  $\Box$  is an element of S
- SAT: S is empty
- MULT: eliminate duplicate literals within one clause
- SUBS: discard a clause that is a superset of another clause
- UNIT: discard the literal  $\neg L$  in all clauses when S contains the clause  $\{L\}$
- TAUT: discard a clause that is a tautology (contains both L and  $\neg L$ )
- PURE: discard all clauses containing L when there is no occurrence of  $\neg L$  in S
- SPLIT: discard all clauses containing either L or  $\neg L$  and add all their possible resolvents
- a)  $\{\{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{R, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P, \neg R\}, \{P, R\}, \{R\}, \{Q, \neg P, Q\}\}$  MULT
- b)  $\{\{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{R, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P, \neg R\}, \{P, R\}, \{R\}, \{\neg P, Q\}\}$  SUBS
- c)  $\{\{P, \neg Q, \neg R\}, \{R, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P, \neg R\}, \{R\}, \{\neg P, Q\}\}$  UNIT R
- d)  $\{\{P, \neg Q\}, \{R, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P\}, \{R\}, \{\neg P, Q\}\}$  PURE R
- e)  $\{\{P, \neg Q\}, \{\neg P, \neg Q\}, \{P\}, \{\neg P, Q\}\}$  UNIT P

- f) {{ $P, \neg Q$ }, { $\neg Q$ }, {P, {Q}} PURE P
- g)  $\{\{\neg Q\}, \{Q\}\}$  SPLIT Q
- h)  $\{\Box\}$  UNSAT

Conclusion: UNSAT (the set S is unsatisfiable).